



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,813	09/751,813 12/29/2000		Brinkley Sprunt	42390.P8258	8469
8791	7590	07/06/2005		EXAMINER	
		LOFF TAYLOR & OULEVARD	YIGDALL, MICHAEL J		
SEVENTH		0000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGI	ELES, CA	A 90025-1030		2192	
				DATE MAIL ED: 07/04/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/751,813	SPRUNT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael J. Yigdall	2192	

	Michael J. Yigdall	2192						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	•	D WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on) and the appropriate out	oneion foo hava					
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date					
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.					
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hocauso					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	unsideration and/or search (see NO	TF helow)	because					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		, , ,						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): -							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			_					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:	•							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4,7-9,18,20,21 and 27-45</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Part of Paper No. 20050627

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The proposed amendments change the scope of the claims. For example, claim 1 now recites that the multiplexer is to "select a class of events" and "select an event from the class of events." The "class of events" limitations now recited in at least independent claims 1, 18, 32 and 40 were not previously presented in the claims, and would therefore require further consideration and/or search.

MY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER